### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

Your program	and	Support	Provider
--------------	-----	---------	----------

1. How long after you were hired into an assignment that requires a					
California preliminary teaching credential were you <b>enrolled</b> in a		9821	99.7%		
Commission-approved induction or clear credential program?	DNR	33	0.3%		
1 = At the time of hire or before beginning work wi	th			2.46	Mean
students		4220	43.0%	1.65	SD
2 = Within one to two months of beginning my assi	ignment	2357	24.0%		
3 = Within three to five months of beginning my assignment		294	3.0%		
$4 = \frac{1}{1}$ More than five months after beginning my assignment.	gnment	424	4.3%		
5 =  One year or more after beginning my assignments		2526	25.7%		
2. How long after you were enrolled in your induction/clear credential program did you begin working with a Support Provider (SP) or r		9800	99.5%		
support from Clear Credential Personnel?	DNR	54	0.5%		
1 = Within one month of enrolling in the program		8570	87.4%		Mean
2 = Within two months of enrolling in the program		783	8.0%	0.73	SD
3 = More than three months after enrolling in the p	orogram	165	1.7%	<del></del>	
4 = I was assigned a Support Provider but never with him/her	orked	24	0.2%		
5 = I was never assigned a Support Provider		258	2.6%		
3. What was the length of <b>your</b> induction/clear credential program?		9804	99.5%		
	DNR	50	0.5%		
1 = Less than 1 school year		243	2.5%		Mean
2 = 1 school year		1451	14.8%	0.85	SD
3 = More than 1 school year but less than 2 school	years	403	4.1%		_
4 = 2 school years		7535	76.9%		
5 = More than 2 school years		172	1.8%		
How helpful was your Support Provider/Mentor/System of Support in you impact students in learning regarding the following:		9776	99.2%		
4a. Modeling instruction while I observed	DNR	78	0.8%		
1 = Very Helpful		5666	58.0%	1.64	Mean
2 = Helpful		2478	25.3%	0.88	
3 = Somewhat helpful		1100	11.3%		
4 = Not at all helpful		532	5.4%		
4b. Identifying Resources		9772	99.2%		
	DNR		0.8%		
1 = Very Helpful		6447	66.0%		Mean
2 = Helpful		2458	25.2%	0.70	SD
3 = Somewhat helpful		722	7.4%		
4 = Not at all helpful		145	1.5%		
9771 9					
4c. Providing feedback from observations to improve my instruction	DNR		0.8%		
1 = Very Helpful		6891	70.5%	1.38	Mean
2 = Helpful		2163	22.1%	0.66	
3 = Somewhat helpful		595	6.1%		<i>35</i>
4 = Not at all helpful		122	1.2%		

### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

		9757	99.0%		
4d. Teaching Practices		DNR 97	1.0%		
1	Very Helpful	6431	65.9%	1 43	
1 =	0.1.61	2558	26.2%	0.67	Mean
2 =	Communication to the Cult	659	6.8%	0.07	SD
3 =	Not of all bologist	109	1.1%		
4 =	- Not at all helpful	103	1.1 /0		
		9761	99.1%		
4e. Content Support		DNR 93	0.9%		
1 -	Very Helpful	5478	56.1%	1 64	Mean
_	11.1.6.1	2681	27.5%	0.85	
2 =	Comment had a fail	1215	12.4%	0.03	SD
3 =	N	387	4.0%		
4 =	- Not at all licipiul	367	4.0 70		
		9756	99.0%		
4f. Instructional Design and	d Planning	DNR 98	1.0%		
1 -	Very Helpful	5639	57.8%	1 57	Mean
2 =	11-1-6-1	2886	29.6%	0.77	
3 =	Comment had a fail	1000	10.3%	0.77	30
3 - 4 =	N	231	2.4%		
4 =	Not at all helpful	231	2.70		
		9746	98.9%		
4g. Creating and Maintaini	ng a Safe and Positive Climate	DNR 108	1.1%		
1 =	Very Helpful	6362	65.3%	1 45	Mean
-	11-1-6-1	2575	26.4%	0.69	
2 =	Somewhat helpful	658	6.8%	0.03	SD
_	Not at all helpful	151	1.5%		
4 =	Not at all helpful	131	1.5 /0		
		9752	99.0%		
4h. Using strategies to sup	port English Learners	DNR 102	1.0%		
1 =	Very Helpful	5171	53.0%	1.65	Mean
2 =	11-1-6-1	3081	31.6%	0.81	
3 =	Communication to the Cult	1222	12.5%	0.02	30
•	Not at all helpful	278	2.9%		
4 -	- Total at all Holphan	2,0	2.5 /0		
		9741	98.9%		
4i. Using strategies to supp	oort students with disabilities	DNR 113	1.1%		
1 =	Very Helpful	4852	49.8%	1.71	Mean
2 =	11.1.6.1	3192	32.8%	0.83	
3 =	Consort at his late!	1377	14.1%	0.03	SD
3 = 4 =	N	320	3.3%		
4 =	- Not at an neight	320	3.5 70		
		9738	98.8%		
4j. Minimizing bias and usi	ng culturally responsive pedagogy	DNR 116	1.2%		
1 =	Very Helpful	5533	56.8%	1 58	Mean
_	Helpful	2989	30.7%	0.77	
<del>-</del>	Somewhat helpful	979	10.1%	0.77	שכ
	Not at all helpful	237	2.4%		
4 =	- Not at all licipiul	237	2.470		

### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

	0750	00.00/		
4k. Setting and reaching Professional Learning Goals	9750 DNR 104	98.9%		
1 = Very Helpful	6798	69.7%	1 38	Mean
2 = Helpful	2317	23.8%	0.64	
3 = Somewhat helpful	523	5.4%	0.01	SU
4 = Not at all helpful	112	1.1%		
4 = Not at all neighb	112	11170		
	9351	04.00/-		
5. How well matched were you with your Support Provider?	DNR 503	94.9% 5.1%		
1 = Well matched	8141	87.1%	1 15	Mean
2 = Somewhat well matched	992	10.6%	0.42	
3 = Not well matched	218	2.3%	0.42	שכ
3 = Not well matched	210	2.570		
If you responded that you were "Not well matched" or "Some Provider please respond to questions 6a and 6b:  6a. in which of the following areas could the match have been impromark all that apply		98.3%		
Grade level or subject area experience or bac	kground 725	60.9%		
Familiarity with site resources, expectations,	policies,			
and procedures	262	22.0%		
Schedules /opportunities to meet	364	30.6%		
Personality, disposition, and working style	358	30.1%		
Teaching philosophy and style	258	21.7%		
6b. Did the program address the issue(s) with the match?	1190 DNR 20	98.3% 1.7%		
1 = Yes	281	23.6%	2 16	Mean
2 = To some extent, but not fully	441	37.1%	0.78	
3 = No	468	39.3%	0.70	SU
3 = 140	400	33.370		
7. On average, how frequently did you and your Support Provider had meaningful communication about issues related to your teaching practions includes all face-to-face or virtual interactions via technology.	9320 DNR 534	94.6% 5.4%		
1 = Daily	800	8.6%		Mean
2 = Two or three times per week	2144	23.0%	0.89	SD
3 = Weekly	4907	52.7%		
4 = Twice per month	1120	12.0%		
5 = Less than twice per month	349	3.7%		
8. Across the full induction/clear program, how frequently did your sperson or via visual technology)?		94.4% 5.6%		
$1 = \frac{\text{More than ten times during the entire program}}{1}$		25.5%		Mean
2 = 6-10 times during the entire program	3039	32.7%	0.95	SD
$3 = \frac{3-5 \text{ times during the entire program}}{3}$	3155	33.9%		
4 = Once or twice during the entire program	621	6.7%		

120

1.3%

Date: 10/15/2018

5 = I was not observed by my Support Provider

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

9. What amount of interaction with your Support Provider would have been		9303	94.4%		
best for you?		DNR 551	5.6%		
1 =	Significantly more time	346	3.7%	2.87	Mean
2 =	A little more time	1126	12.1%	0.58	SD
3 =	The same amount of time I had	7336	78.9%		
4 =	A little less time	386	4.1%		
5 =	Much less time	109	1.2%		

#### Connections between your induction/clear program and your Individual Induction Plan (IIP)

Reflecting on your engagement with formative assessment activities during your induction and credential program experience:

10. To what degree was there cohesion between the professional		9273	94.1%		
development received in dis	trict or on site and induction/clear credential	DNR 581	5.9%		
1 =	Strong	4999	55.1%	1.51	Mean
2 =	Moderate	3513	38.7%	0.61	SD
3 =	Weak	554	6.1%		
	Not applicable to me *	207	-		
3	aboration between your induction or clear	9275	94.1%		
credential program and you	r site administration?	DNR 579	5.9%		
1 =	Very Strong	3201	39.5%	1.77	Mean
2 =	Strong	3587	44.2%	0.71	SD
3 =	Not Strong	1323	16.3%		<u> </u>
	I do not have sufficient information to answer this question *	1164	-		

How much impact did participating in the following activities have on your classroom practice?

Para Para	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
42 6 11 11 1 1 1			9269	94.1%		
12a. Collection and analysis	or evidence or	my teaching practice	DNR 585	5.9%		
1 =	Extensive impact	:	6313	68.4%	1.34	Mean
2 =	Limited impact		2713	29.4%	0.52	SD
3 =	No impact		206	2.2%		
		I did not participate in this activity *	37	-		
			9256	93.9%		
12b. Analysis of my students	s' work		DNR 598	6.1%		
1 =	Extensive impact		6813	73.9%	1.28	Mean
2 =	Limited impact		2266	24.6%	0.48	SD
3 =	No impact		141	1.5%		
		I did not participate in this activity *	36	-		
10 01 6			9259	94.0%		
12c. Observation of experier	iced teachers		DNR 595	6.0%		
1 =	Extensive impact		6462	73.8%	1.28	Mean
	Extensive impact					
2 =	Limited impact		2121	24.2%	0.49	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			24.2%		

I did not participate in this activity \*

498

### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

12d. Examination of my teaching practice against the CSTP (e.g., the		9240	93.8%		
Continuum of Teaching Prac	tice)	DNR 614	6.2%		
1 =	Extensive impact	5587	61.5%	1.43	Mean
2 =	Limited impact	3102	34.2%	0.58	SD
3 =	No impact	390	4.3%		
	I did not participate in this activity *	161	-		
12e. Development of my Inc	dividual Induction Plan (IIP)/Individual Learning	9239	93.8%		
Plan (ILP)		DNR 615	6.2%		
1 =	Extensive impact	5851	63.9%	1.40	Mean
2 =	Limited impact	2937	32.1%	0.57	SD
3 =	No impact	370	4.0%		
	I did not participate in this activity *	81	-		
12f Professional Learning a	s identified on my IIP or ILP	9247	93.8%		
121. I Toressional Learning a		DNR 607	6.2%		
1 =	Extensive impact	5858	64.0%	1.39	Mean
2 =	Limited impact	3004	32.8%	0.55	SD
3 =	No impact	287	3.1%		
	I did not participate in this activity *	98	-		
12g Collaboration with colle	and the same of th	9252	93.9%		
12g. Collaboration with colle	eagues	DNR 602	6.1%		
1 =	Extensive impact	7382	80.4%	1.21	Mean
2 =	Limited impact	1637	17.8%	0.45	SD
3 =	No impact	163	1.8%		
	I did not participate in this activity *	70	-		

### Impact of Induction on Teaching Practice

#### **Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

13a. Connect classroom learning to the real world		92.8%		
		7.2%		
Very well	3901	42.7%	1.84	Mean
Well	3379	37.0%	0.91	SD
Adequately	1425	15.6%		
Poorly	296	3.2%		
Not at all	140	1.5%		
uiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote	9139	92.7%		
	DNR 715	7.3%		
Very well	4418	48.3%	1.72	Mean
Well	3200	35.0%	0.84	SD
Adequately	1227	13.4%		
Poorly	215	2.4%		
Not at all	79	0.9%		
	Very well Well Adequately Poorly Not at all quiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote Very well Well Adequately Poorly	Very well         3901           Well         3379           Adequately         1425           Poorly         296           Not at all         140           Juiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote         9139           DNR 715         715           Very well         4418           Well         3200           Adequately         1227           Poorly         215	rning to the real world  Very well  Very well  3901 42.7%  Well  3379 37.0%  Adequately  Poorly  Not at all  140 1.5%  Very well  Very well  4418 48.3%  Well  3200 35.0%  Adequately  Poorly  215 2.4%	Very well   3901   42.7%   1.84   Well   3379   37.0%   Adequately   1425   15.6%   Poorly   296   3.2%   Not at all   140   1.5%   Iuiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote   9139   92.7%   One of the original o

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

42 M 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		92.7%		
needs of English learners	DNR 723	7.3%		
Very well	3647	39.9%	1.88	Mean
Well	3423	37.5%	0.89	SD
Adequately	1662	18.2%		
Poorly	292	3.2%		
Not at all	107	1.2%		
pecial learning needs with appropriate teaching	9130	92.7%		
3 11 1	DNR 724	7.3%		
Very well	3932	43.1%	1.83	Mean
Well	3316	36.3%	0.88	SD
Adequately	1494	16.4%		
Poorly	300	3.3%		
Not at all	88	1.0%		
	Well Adequately Poorly Not at all Decial learning needs with appropriate teaching Very well Well Adequately Poorly	Very well 3647  Well 3423  Adequately 1662  Poorly 292  Not at all 107  Decial learning needs with appropriate teaching 9130  DNR 724  Very well 3932  Well 3316  Adequately 1494  Poorly 300	Needs of English learners	Very well   3647   39.9%   1.88   Well   3423   37.5%   Adequately   1662   18.2%   Poorly   292   3.2%   Not at all   107   1.2%   Poercial learning needs with appropriate teaching   9130   92.7%   Power well   3932   43.1%   3316   36.3%   Adequately   1494   16.4%   Poorly   300   3.3%

#### **Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

safe and respectful learning environment for	9131	92.7%		
	DNR 723	7.3%		
'ery well	5288	57.9%	1.58	Mean
Vell	2655	29.1%	0.81	SD
dequately	981	10.7%		
oorly	120	1.3%		
lot at all	87	1.0%		
ning environment with high expectations for all	9132	92.7%		
	DNR 722	7.3%		
'ery well	5309	58.1%	1.57	Mean
Vell	2715	29.7%	0.79	SD
dequately	912	10.0%		
oorly	114	1.2%		
lot at all	82	0.9%		
/e	ery well ell dequately orly ot at all ing environment with high expectations for all ery well ell dequately orly	DNR 723	DNR 723 7.3%	DNR 723 7.3%   Pry well   5288 57.9%   1.58

#### **Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

15a. Use effective instructional strategies to teach specific subject matter		9124	92.6%		
and skills		DNR 730	7.4%		
1 =	Very well	4681	51.3%	1.68	Mean
2 =	Well	3070	33.6%	0.85	SD
3 =	Adequately	1077	11.8%		
4 =	Poorly	185	2.0%		
5 =	Not at all	111	1.2%		

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

15b. Select, adapt, and develop materials, resources, and technologies to			92.6%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		DNR 731	7.4%
1 = V	Very well	4667	51.2%
2 = V	Well	3075	33.7%
3 = A	Adequately	1088	11.9%
4 = P	Poorly	185	2.0%
5 = N	Not at all	108	1.2%

1.68 **Mean**0.85 **SD** 

#### Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

16a. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual			9115	92.5%
development			DNR 739	7.5%
	1 =	Very well	4448	48.8%
	2 =	Well	3239	35.5%
	3 =	Adequately	1176	12.9%
	4 =	Poorly	166	1.8%
	5 -	Not at all	86	0.9%

1.71 **Mean** 0.83 **SD** 

16b. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies,	9111	92.5%
resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students		7.5%
1 = Very well	4606	50.6%
2 = Well	3179	34.9%
3 = Adequately	1084	11.9%
4 = Poorly	162	1.8%
5 = Not at all	80	0.9%

1.68 **Mean** 0.82 **SD** 

#### **Assessing Students for Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

17a. Involve all students in self-assessment, goal setting, and monitoring				92.5%
progress			DNR 742	7.5%
	1 =	Very well	3981	43.7%
	2 =	Well	3302	36.2%
	3 =	Adequately	1476	16.2%
	4 =	Poorly	249	2.7%
	5 =	Not at all	104	1.1%

1.81 **Mean**0.88 **SD** 

17b. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning		9107	92.4%
		DNR 747	7.6%
1 =	Very well	4071	44.7%
2 =	Well	3327	36.5%
3 =	Adequately	1395	15.3%
4 =	Poorly	230	2.5%
5 =	Not at all	84	0.9%

1.78 **Mean**0.86 **SD** 

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

#### **Developing as a Professional Educator**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

IND EVALUATE the offer		9106	92.4%		
accordingly	of actions on student learning and modify plans	DNR 748	7.6%		
<i>3</i> ,	= Very well	4531	49.8%	1.68	Mean
_	= Well	3282	36.0%	0.80	
3		1076	11.8%		30
•	Poorly	145	1.6%		
·	Not at all	72	0.8%		
18b. Work with colleagues	to improve instruction	9102	92.4%		
	Versivall	DNR 752	7.6%	1.60	
1		4736	52.0%		Mean
2		2876	31.6%	0.87	SD
-	Adequately	1199	13.2%		
·	= Poorly = Not at all	124	1.8%		
	e was your induction program at developing Is you needed to grow your teaching	9106	92.4%		
		9106 DNR 748	92.4% 7.6%		
the skills, habits, or too	Is you needed to grow your teaching			1.66	Mean
the skills, habits, or too practice?	Is you needed to grow your teaching  = Very effective	DNR 748	7.6%	1.66 0.76	
the skills, habits, or too practice?	Very effective  Effective	<b>DNR</b> 748 4536	7.6% 49.8%		Mean SD
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3	= Very effective = Effective	DNR 748 4536 3319	7.6% 49.8% 36.4%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4	Somewhat effective  Not at all effective	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective  e was your induction program at developing	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective	Somewhat effective  Not at all effective	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073 178	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8% 2.0%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective the skills, habits, or too	Very effective	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073 178	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8% 2.0%	0.76	
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective the skills, habits, or too teacher?	Very effective	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073 178  9100 DNR 754	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8% 2.0% 92.3% 7.7%	0.76	SD Mean
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective the skills, habits, or too teacher?	Very effective     Effective     Somewhat effective     Not at all effective     was your induction program at developing on the state of the stat	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073 178  9100 DNR 754 4655	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8% 2.0% 92.3% 7.7% 51.2%	0.76	SD Mean
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective the skills, habits, or too teacher?  1 2 3	Very effective	DNR 748 4536 3319 1073 178  9100 DNR 754 4655 3247	7.6% 49.8% 36.4% 11.8% 2.0% 92.3% 7.7% 51.2% 35.7%	0.76	SD Mean

## **Demographic Information**

21. Are you Hispanic or Latine?		91.5%
21. Are you Hispanic or Latino?	DNR 834	8.5%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	6465	71.7%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	2555	28.3%

### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 9854 Response rate: 95.8 %

22. What is your race? Mark all that apply		8080	82.0%
		DNR1774	18.0%
American Indian or Alas	ka Native	352	3.6%
Chinese		324	3.3%
Japanese		176	1.8%
Korean		159	1.6%
Vietnamese		118	1.2%
Asian Indian		97	1.0%
Laotian		11	0.1%
Cambodian		28	0.3%
Filipino		290	2.9%
Hmong		38	0.4%
Other Asian		122	1.2%
Black or African America	ın	363	3.7%
Hawaiian		27	0.3%
Guamanian		16	0.2%

Samoan

Tahitian

White

Other Pacific Islander

23. In what type of school did you teach during your induction program?			91.8%
Mark all that apply		DNR 806	8.2%
	Public	7448	75.6%
	Charter	1469	14.9%
	Private	595	6.0%
	Non-public special education	17	0.2%
	Other	61	0.6%

#### 24. What is your gender?

	9041	91.7%
	DNR 813	8.3%
Female	6523	72.1%
Male	2327	25.7%
Decline to state	191	2.1%

12

27

6726

0.1%

0.0%

0.3%

68.3%

Response Rate by Program Sponsor (Statewide completion rate is 95.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % o Complet Respo		Name of Institution
28	26	92.86 %	ALHAMBRA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
20	20	100 %	ANAHEIM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
7	5	71.43 %	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
79	75	94.94 %	ANIMO LEADERSHIP CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL (GREEN DOT PUBLIC SCHOOLS)
28	28	100 %	ANTELOPE VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
19	19	100 %	ANTIOCH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
38	32	84.21 %	ANTIOCH UNIVERSITY
9	9	100 %	ARCADIA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
68	64	94.12 %	ASPIRE PUBLIC SCHOOLS
5	4	80 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
53	53	100 %	BAKERSFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	2	100 %	BALDWIN PARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
61	60	98.36 %	BAY AREA SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE (REACH INSTITUTE)
24	22	91.67 %	BELLFLOWER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
8	8	100 %	BIOLA UNIVERSITY
33	31	93.94 %	BRENTWOOD UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
17	17	100 %	BURBANK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
1	1	100 %	BUTTE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
1	1	100 %	CALIFORNIA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF, FREMONT
27	25	92.59 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
14	13	92.86 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH
79	74	93.67 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
3	3	100 %	CALSTATE TEACH
52	50	96.15 %	CAMPBELL UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
48	47	97.92 %	CAPISTRANO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
35	32	91.43 %	CENTRAL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
26	26	100 %	CERES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
20	19	95 %	CHAFFEY JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	1	50 %	CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY
45	42	93.33 %	CHULA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	2	100 %	CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY
72	72	100 %	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
10	8	80 %	COMPTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
16	15	93.75 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
18	17	94.44 %	CONEJO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
149	141	94.63 %	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
39	39	100 %	CORONA-NORCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
14	14	100 %	CULVER CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
28	26	92.86 %	CUPERTINO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
81	78	96.3 %	DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	2	100 %	DOS PALOS ORO LOMA JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
98	92	93.88 %	EL DORADO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
9	9	100 %	EL RANCHO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
63	61	96.83 %	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Response Rate by Program Sponsor (Statewide completion rate is 95.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % o Complete Respo	ers who	Name of Institution
15	13	86.67 %	ENCINITAS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
7	7	100 %	ESCONDIDO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
28	28	100 %	ESCONDIDO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
32	32	100 %	ETIWANDA SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	2	100 %	EVERGREEN SCHOOL DISTRICT
62	61	98.39 %	FAIRFIELD-SUISUN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
59	57	96.61 %	FONTANA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
89	86	96.63 %	FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
11	11	100 %	FREMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
77	74	96.1 %	FRESNO COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
1	1	100 %	FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
134	131	97.76 %	FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
15	15	100 %	FULLERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT
66	63	95.45 %	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
12	11	91.67 %	GLENDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
17	17	100 %	GREENFIELD UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
20	19	95 %	GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
18	18	100 %	HACIENDA LA PUENTE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
8	8	100 %	HANFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
46	42	91.3 %	HAYWARD UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
12	10	83.33 %	HEBREW UNION COLLEGE
28	25	89.29 %	HIGH TECH HIGH
38	38	100 %	IMPERIAL COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
82	78	95.12 %	IRVINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
4	4	100 %	KEPPEL UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
151	151	100 %	KERN COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
49	49	100 %	KERN HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
35	33	94.29 %	KINGS COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
23	21	91.3 %	LA MESA-SPRING VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT
1	1	100 %	LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY
26	25	96.15 %	LANCASTER SCHOOL DISTRICT
31	30	96.77 %	LODI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
46	44	95.65 %	LONG BEACH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
251	238	94.82 %	LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
375	354	94.4 %	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
17	17	100 %	LOS BANOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
23	22	95.65 %	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY
62	57	91.94 %	MADERA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
17	16	94.12 %	MANTECA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
70	68	97.14 %	MARIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
23			
	22	95.65 %	MERCED COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
26	22 25	95.65 % 96.15 %	MERCED COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION  MERCED UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
26 13	25		

Response Rate by Program Sponsor (Statewide completion rate is 95.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % of Program Completers who Responded		Name of Institution
76	73	96.05 %	MONTEREY COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
40	36	90 %	MOUNT SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY
28	26	92.86 %	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
18	17	94.44 %	MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
45	43	95.56 %	NAPA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
15	13	86.67 %	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
24	22	91.67 %	NEW HAVEN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
34	32	94.12 %	NEWARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
25	25	100 %	OAK GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT
120	110	91.67 %	OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
1	1	100 %	OCEAN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT
13	13	100 %	ONTARIO-MONTCLAIR SCHOOL DISTRICT
114	109	95.61 %	ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
29	28	96.55 %	ORANGE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
4	4	100 %	PACIFIC UNION COLLEGE
24	24	100 %	PALMDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT
23	23	100 %	PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
45	44	97.78 %	PALOS VERDES PENINSULA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
28	27	96.43 %	PANAMA-BUENA VISTA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
25	25	100 %	PARAMOUNT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
41	40	97.56 %	PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
34	33	97.06 %	PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
65	65	100 %	PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
72	68	94.44 %	PLEASANTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
4	3	<i>75</i> %	POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
24	23	95.83 %	POMONA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
45	43	95.56 %	POWAY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
66	63	95.45 %	PUC SCHOOLS
10	9	90 %	REDWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
28	27	96.43 %	RIALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
1185	1149	96.96 %	RIVERSIDE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
54	54	100 %	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
24	24	100 %	ROWLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
55	49	89.09 %	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
228	219	96.05 %	SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
23	23	100 %	SADDLEBACK VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
71	65	91.55 %	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
180	173	96.11 %	SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
375	359	95.73 %	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY
61	59	96.72 %	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
10	9	90 %	SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
4	4	100 %	SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY
167	155	92.81 %	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
31	31	100 %	SAN GABRIEL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Response Rate by Program Sponsor (Statewide completion rate is 95.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % of Program Completers who Responded		Name of Institution
1	1	100 %	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
67	65	97.01 %	SAN JOSE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
58	56	96.55 %	SAN JUAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
72	70	97.22 %	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
46	42	91.3 %	SAN MARCOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
23	21	91.3 %	SAN MATEO - FOSTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
106	102	96.23 %	SAN MATEO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
40	36	90 %	SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
18	18	100 %	SANGER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
37	36	97.3 %	SANTA ANA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
127	119	93.7 %	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY EDUCATION OFFICE
23	23	100 %	SANTA CLARA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
8	6	75 %	SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY
252	245	97.22 %	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
26	25	96.15 %	SANTA MONICA-MALIBU UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
19	18	94.74 %	SAUGUS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
16	16	100 %	SCHOOL FOR INTEGRATED ACADEMICS AND TECHNOLOGY (SIA TECH)
26	26	100 %	SELMA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	29	96.67 %	SEQUOIA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
286	272	95.1 %	SONOMA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
30	29	96.67 %	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
98	94	95.92 %	STANISLAUS COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
37	34	91.89 %	STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
12	11	91.67 %	SUMMIT PUBLIC SCHOOLS
89	88	98.88 %	SUTTER COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
103	99	96.12 %	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
68	58	85.29 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
109	108	99.08 %	TEHAMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
27	26	96.3 %	TORRANCE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
10	8	80 %	TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
7	7	100 %	TULARE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
101	96	95.05 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
10	10	100 %	TURLOCK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
24	24	100 %	TUSTIN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
97	92	94.85 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
3	3	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
442	419	94.8 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
19	18	94.74 %	VALLEJO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
165	161	97.58 %	VENTURA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
62	59	95.16 %	VISALIA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
47	45	95.74 %	VISTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
67	64	95.52 %	WALNUT VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
18	18	100 %	WASHINGTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
41	39	95.12 %	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Response Rate by Program Sponsor (Statewide completion rate is 95.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made	Comple	of Program ters who onded	Name of Institution
63	61	96.83 %	WEST COVINA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
13	13	100 %	WESTSIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
32	32	100 %	WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT